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#### Abstract

A complete classification of the special points of octagonal, decagonal and dodecagonal quasilattices in two dimensions (2D) is presented. They are obtained by projecting the special points of the starting $n$-gonal lattice ( $n=8,10$ or 12 ) in 4D onto a 2D subspace. A set of equivalent special points of a quasilattice are located on the centres of a single kind of tile in the quasiperiodic tiling associated with the quasilatice; the point symmetry of the special points is identical to that of the tiles. They form a quasilattice with the same point symmetry as that of the original quasilattice. The new quasilatice is of a 'Bravais type' or 'non-Bravais type' according to whether the point symmetry group of the special points is identical to the full point symmetry group of the quasilattice or its true subgroup, respectively.


## 1. Introduction

A point $\boldsymbol{x}$ in a unit cell of a periodic lattice is called a special point if its point symmetry, $G(x)$, has only a fixed point but does not have a fixed line nor a fixed plane. $G(x)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the point symmetry group, $G$, of the lattice and the number of equivalent special points in the unit cell is given by $d(\boldsymbol{x})=|\mathrm{G}| /|\mathrm{G}(\boldsymbol{x})|$, where the symbol $|*|$ stands for the order of the set *. A special point is nothing but a Wyckoff position without variable parameters in crystallographer's terminology (Wondratschek 1987).

The special points are also defined in the reciprocal space with respect to the reciprocal lattice. They are important in the band theory of solids because a special point has a higher point symmetry than its neighbouring points. The dispersion function of electrons is stationary at the special points.

For example, the point symmetry group of $\mathrm{Fm} \overline{3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{h}}^{5}$, the face centred cubic lattice in the three dimensions (3D), is $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{h}}$ and there exist four kinds of special points, $\Gamma, H$, $P$ and $N$, where the symbols in the band theory are used. The point symmetries of these special points are $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{T}_{d}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2 h}$, respectively. It follows that $d(\Gamma)=d(H)=$ $1, d(P)=2$ and $d(N)=6$. A representative special point in each class is given by [000], [100], [ $\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}\right]$ or $\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 0\right]$, respectively.

In a crystal with a simple chemical formula, the special points of the relevant periodic lattice are probable positions to be occupied by the atoms. For example, sodium atoms occupy the $\Gamma$ positions of the face centred cubic lattice and chlorine atoms occupy $H$ positions in rock salt $(\mathrm{NaCl})$. On the other hand, calcium atoms occupy $\Gamma$ positions and fluorine atoms $P$ positions in the case of the fluorite $\left(\mathrm{CaF}_{2}\right)$.

Recently, the present author and his collaborator introduced special points in the reciprocal space of an icosahedral quasilattice and showed that the quasidispersion relation of electrons is stationary at these points (Niizeki and Akamatsu 1989). The
special points are given by projections of the special points of a 6D simple hypercubic lattice onto the real (3D) reciprocal space.

In this paper, we shall introduce special points of a quasilattice in the real space and present a complete classification of them for the cases of octagonal, decagonal and dodecagonal quasilattices. If a quasiperiodic tiling is associated with a quasilattice in 2D, the centres of a single kind of tiles form a class of equivalent special points whose point symmetry is identical to that of the tiles. The special points of a quasilattice will be useful when decorating the quasilattice with atoms to represent a real quasicrystal.

In the case of a quasilattice there exists at most one point with a global (exact) point symmetry. Therefore, special points of a quasilattice cannot be defined in the same way as those of a periodic lattice. However, a quasilattice is obtained by the cut-and-projection method from a higher-dimensional periodic lattice (Elser 1986, Katz and Duneau 1986, Janssen 1986). Accordingly, special points of a quasilattice may be defined by the cut-and-projection method from the special points of the starting periodic lattice.

In $\S 2$, we will summarise the definition and the properties of an $n$-gonal lattice (Niizeki 1989a). In §3, we will establish a systematic method of enumerating all the special points of an $n$-gonal lattice and, in $\S 4$, list the special points of octagonal, decagonal and dodecagonal lattices in 4D. In § 5, we will construct several 2D quasilattices by the cut-and-projection method and, in $\S 6$, investigate the special points of these 2D quasilattices. In $\S 7$, we will classify 2 D quasilattices with global point symmetries. In the final section, $\S 8$, we will present several remarks on the present work.

The reader who is interested only in the results but not in the mathematical details of their derivations can skip $\S 3$.

## 2. The octagonal, decagonal and dodecagonal lattices in 4D

We shall identify the 2D Euclidean space E with the complex plane (Gauss-Argan plane) $\boldsymbol{C} ; \boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{E}_{2} \leftrightarrow z=x_{1}+\mathrm{i} x_{2} \in \boldsymbol{C}$. Let $\zeta=\exp (2 \pi \mathrm{i} / n)$ with $n=8,10$ or 12. Then $\zeta$ is a primitive root of the $n$-cyclotomic equation, $x^{n}-1=0$. The $n$ unit vectors, $1, \zeta, \zeta^{2}, \ldots, \zeta^{n-1}$, represent the vertex vectors of the unit regular $n$-gon $\Pi_{n}$ centred on the origin. The set of complex numbers, $\mathrm{C}_{n}=\left\{1, \zeta, \zeta^{2}, \ldots, \zeta^{n-1}\right\}$, acts multiplicatively on $C$ as a cyclic point symmetry group of $E_{2} \simeq C ; \zeta$ acts as a rotation by $2 \pi / n$. On the other hand, the complex conjugate operation which changes $z \in C$ to $\bar{z}$ acts as a mirror (or reflection) with respect to the real axis of $\boldsymbol{C}$. If the complex conjugate operation is added to $\mathrm{C}_{n}$, the resulting group is $\mathrm{D}_{n}$, the dihedral point symmetry group of the plane. $\mathrm{D}_{n}$ is also the point symmetry group of $\Pi_{n}$. Note that $\left|\mathrm{D}_{n}\right|=2 n$.

Of the $n$ vectors, $1, \zeta, \zeta^{2}, \ldots, \zeta^{n-1}$, only four are linearly independent of each other over $\boldsymbol{Z}$, the integral domain of real integers, because $\zeta$ satisfies a quartic equation $P_{n}(x)=0$ with $P_{8}(x)=1+x^{4}, P_{10}(x)=1-x+x^{2}-x^{3}+x^{4}$ and $P_{12}(x)=1-x^{2}+x^{4}$. The four solutions of the equation are all primitive roots of the $n$-cyclotomic equation. They are given by $\zeta, \zeta^{\prime}, \bar{\zeta}$ and $\overline{\zeta^{\prime}}$ with $\zeta^{\prime}=-\zeta$ for $n=8$ or 12 and $\zeta^{\prime}=\zeta^{3}$ for $n=10$. Note that the series, $1, \zeta^{\prime},\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)^{2}, \ldots,\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)^{n-1}$, is a mere permutation of $1, \zeta, \zeta^{2}, \ldots, \zeta^{n-1}$.

The set of algebraic integers, $\boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)=\left\{n_{0}+n_{1} \zeta+n_{2} \zeta^{2}+n_{3} \zeta^{3} \mid n_{i} \in \boldsymbol{Z}\right\}$, generated by $\zeta$ is an integral domain. Note that $\boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)=\boldsymbol{Z}\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)$. The conjugate (not the complex conjugate) of $\nu \in \boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$ is defined by $\nu^{\prime}=\left.\nu\right|_{\zeta \rightarrow \zeta^{\prime}}$ and its norm by $N(\nu)=\left|\nu \nu^{\prime}\right|^{2} . N(\nu)$ is a positive integer unless $\nu=0$. Note that $\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\nu$ (or $\bar{\nu}$ for $n=10$ ) and $N(\nu)=N\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)$.
$\tau \in \boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$ is called a unit of $\boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$ if $N(\tau)=1$, or equivalently, if $\tau^{-1} \in \boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$. All the units of $\boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$ form a multiplicative Abelian group generated by $\zeta$ and a fundamental unit $\tau_{0} . \tau_{0}$ is given by $1+\sqrt{2}\left(=1+\zeta+\zeta^{-1}\right),(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2\left(=\zeta+\zeta^{-1}\right)$ or $1+\zeta$ for $n=8$, 10 or 12 , respectively.

Let $\varepsilon_{i}={ }^{\mathrm{t}}\left(\zeta^{i},\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)^{i}\right), i=0, \ldots,(n-1)$, be 2 D complex vectors, where the left superscript $t$ stands for the transpose operation. Then, they are identified with 4 D real vectors in $E_{4}\left(\simeq \boldsymbol{R}^{4} \simeq C^{2}\right)$. The first four, $\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$ and $\varepsilon_{3}$, of $\varepsilon_{i}$ are linearly independent and the remaining $n-4$ are given as linear combinations of the four with integer coefficients. The 4D $n$-gonal lattice is defined by $L_{n}=\left\{n_{0} \varepsilon_{0}+\ldots+n_{3} \varepsilon_{3} \mid n_{i} \in \boldsymbol{Z}\right\}$ (Niizeki 1989a).
$E_{4} \simeq C^{2}$ is divided naturally into two 2D subspaces as $E_{2} \oplus E_{2}(\simeq C \oplus C)$; the former is called the external space and the latter the internal one. We shall denote the projectors which project $E_{4}$ onto the two subspaces as $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$, respectively; $\boldsymbol{x}=$ $x_{0} \varepsilon_{0}+x_{1} \varepsilon_{1}+x_{2} \varepsilon_{2}+x_{3} \varepsilon_{3} \in E_{4}$ is projected as $\pi(\boldsymbol{x})=x_{0}+x_{1} \zeta+x_{2} \zeta^{2}+x_{3} \zeta^{3}$ and $\pi^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{x})=$ $x_{0}+x_{1} \zeta^{\prime}+x_{2}\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)^{2}+x_{3}\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)^{3}$. It follows that $\pi\left(L_{n}\right)=\pi^{\prime}\left(L_{n}\right)=\boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$. We will sometimes denote the 4 D vector $x=x_{0} \varepsilon_{0}+\ldots+x_{3} \varepsilon_{3}$ as $x=\left[x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right] . x_{i}$ are called indices of $x$.

Let $z={ }^{t}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in C^{2}\left(\approx E_{4}\right)$. Then, an orthogonal transformation $\rho$ of $E_{4}$ is defined by $\rho z={ }^{\mathrm{t}}\left(\zeta z_{1}, \zeta^{\prime} z_{2}\right)$. The order of $\rho$ is equal to $n ; \rho^{n}=1$. $\rho$ leaves $L_{n}$ invariant; $\rho L_{n}=L_{n}$. Another orthogonal transformation $\sigma$ of $E_{4}$ is defined by $\sigma z=\left(\bar{z}_{1}, \bar{z}_{2}\right)$. It has the properties, $\sigma^{2}=1, \sigma \rho \sigma^{-1}=\rho^{-1}$ and $\sigma L_{n}=L_{n} . \rho$ and $\sigma$ generate a group $\mathrm{D}_{n}^{\prime}$ which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{D}_{n} ; \mathrm{D}_{n}^{\prime}=\pi^{-1}\left(\mathrm{D}_{n}\right)$. $\mathrm{D}_{n}^{\prime}$ leaves each subspace in $\boldsymbol{C} \oplus \boldsymbol{C}\left(=\boldsymbol{C}^{2} \simeq E_{4}\right)$ invariant. $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}{ }_{n}$ is the maximal point symmetry group of $L_{n}$ having this property. In what follows, we shall frequently denote $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}{ }_{n}$ simply as $\mathrm{D}_{n}$.

We should mention here the arbitrariness in indexing lattice vectors of $L_{n}$. Let $\tau \in \boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$ be a unit. Then, the 4D lattice generated by $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{i}={ }^{\mathrm{t}}\left(\zeta^{i} / \tau,\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)^{i} / \tau^{\prime}\right), i=0, \ldots, 4$, is identical to $L_{n}$ because there exists a unimodular matrix $K$ such that $\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}\right)=$ $\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{0}, \tilde{\varepsilon}_{1}, \tilde{\varepsilon}_{2}, \tilde{\varepsilon}_{3}\right) K$. The $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{i}$ are transformed by $\mathrm{D}_{n}$ linearly among themselves in the same way as the $\varepsilon_{i}$ are. Therefore, there are no reasons why we should not index $x \in E_{4}$ by the new basis vectors $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{i}$. We shall denote the new index with a tilde as [...] ${ }^{\mu}$. Thus, $\boldsymbol{x}$ is indexed in two ways as $\boldsymbol{x}=\left[x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right]=\left[\tilde{x}_{0} \tilde{x}_{1} \tilde{x}_{2} \tilde{x}_{3}\right]^{\tau}$. The two sets of indices are related to each other by ${ }^{\mathrm{t}}\left(\tilde{x}_{0}, \tilde{x}_{1}, \tilde{x}_{2}, \tilde{x}_{3}\right)=K^{\mathrm{t}}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$. The arbitrariness in indexing $L_{n}$ is closely related with the self-similarity of an $n$-gonal quasilattice.

A symmorphic space group in 4D is defined in terms of $\mathrm{D}_{n}$ and the translational group $L_{n}$. We shall denote it by $g_{n}$. The point symmetry group of $x \in E_{4}$ is defined by $\mathrm{G}(x)=\left\{\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathrm{G}\right.$ and $\left.\alpha x-x \in L_{n}\right\}$ with $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{D}_{n}$. Note that $\alpha x-x \in L_{n}$ is equivalent to $\alpha x \equiv x \bmod L_{n} . \mathrm{G}(x)$ is a subgroup of $G$.

In the case of $n=8$, we find that $\varepsilon_{i} \cdot \varepsilon_{j}=2 \delta_{i, j}$. Therefore, $L_{8}$ is a 4D simple hypercubic lattice with the lattice constant $\sqrt{2}$. The full point symmetry group of $L_{8}$ is $\Omega(4)$, the 4D hyperoctahedral point group, whose order is equal to 384 . However, only the subgroup $D_{8}$ of $\Omega(4)$ is relevant to the octagonal quasilattice to be derived from $L_{8}$.

In the case of $n=12, \varepsilon_{0}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ are orthogonal to $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{3}$ and $L_{12}$ is represented as a direct product of two 2D lattices; $L_{12}=L_{6} \times L_{6}^{\prime}$ where $L_{6}$ (or $L_{6}^{\prime}$ ) is a 2D hexagonal lattice generated by $\varepsilon_{0}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ (or $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{3}$ ).

## 3. The special points of an $\boldsymbol{n}$-gonal quasilattice I : the general theory

Let us denote $\boldsymbol{x}+L_{n}\left(=\left\{\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{l} \mid \boldsymbol{l} \in L_{n}\right\}\right)$ by $L_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$, i.e. $L_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is a simple translate of $L_{n}$. If $x$ is a special point of $L_{n}$ then $L_{n}(x)$ represents the set of all the special points equivalent to $\boldsymbol{x}$ with respect to the translational group $L_{n}$. Note that $\alpha L_{n}(x)=L_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$
for $\alpha \in \mathrm{G}(\boldsymbol{x})$. On the other hand, we shall denote by $L_{n}[x]$ the set of all the equivalent special points to $\boldsymbol{x}$ with respect to the space group $g_{n}$. Since $\alpha L_{n}[x]=L_{n}[x] \forall \alpha \in$ $\mathrm{D}_{n}, L_{n}[\boldsymbol{x}]$ is an $n$-gonal lattice composed of $d(\boldsymbol{x})(=|\mathrm{G}| /|\mathrm{G}(\boldsymbol{x})|)$ sublattices which are translationally congruent with $L_{n}$. It is a Bravais-type $n$-gonal lattice only when $d(\boldsymbol{x})=1$ (for a non-Bravais-type $n$-gonal lattice, see Niizeki 1989b).

The point symmetry group of a special point of $L_{n}$ is equal to $\mathrm{D}_{m}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{m}$ where $m$ is a divisor of $n$, but the case $m=1$ is eliminated. The number of equivalent special points in a unit cell of $L_{n}$ is given by $d=n / m$ if the relevant point group is $\mathrm{D}_{m} . d$ is called the order of the special points.

All the lattice points in $L_{n}$ form an important class of special points, which is denoted by $\Gamma$ following the convention of the band theory. Note that $G(\Gamma)=D_{n}$ and $d(\Gamma)=1$.

Let $m(\neq 1)$ be a diviser of $n$ and assume that $\boldsymbol{x} \in E_{4}$ is a special point such that $\mathrm{G}(\boldsymbol{x}) \supset \mathrm{C}_{m}$. Then, we obtain $\rho^{k} \boldsymbol{x} \equiv \boldsymbol{x} \bmod L_{n}$ with $k=n / m(<n)$ is another divisor of $n$. Projecting this condition onto the external space yields that $\zeta^{k} z \equiv z \bmod \boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$ with $z=\pi(x)$. We assume that $\boldsymbol{x} \notin L_{n}$, i.e. $\boldsymbol{x}$ is not a $\Gamma$ point. Then, $z \in \boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$, so that $\mu=1-\zeta^{k}$ is not a unit of $\boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$. It follows that $z \in J$ where $J=\beta \boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$ with $\beta=1 /\left(1-\zeta^{k}\right)$ is a fractional ideal. Note that $\boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta) \subset J$ because $1=\beta \mu \in J$. Note also that $J$ is self-conjugate, $J=\bar{J}$, because $\bar{\beta}=-\zeta^{k} \beta$.

From $z=\pi(x) \in J$, we can conclude that $x \in M$ with $M=\pi^{-1}(J)$ (the domain of $\pi^{-1}$ is extended naturally from $\boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$ to $\left.\boldsymbol{Q}(\zeta)\right) . M$ is a 4 D lattice generated by the basis vectors, $\varepsilon_{i}^{\prime}={ }^{\mathrm{t}}\left(\beta \zeta^{i}, \beta^{\prime}\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)^{i}\right), i=0, \ldots, 3$, with $\beta^{\prime}\left(=1 / \mu^{\prime}=1 /\left[1-\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)^{k}\right]\right)$ being the conjugate of $\beta . M$ is an $n$-gonal lattice and $L_{n}$ is a $D_{n}$-superlattice of $M$ (Niizeki 1989b) because $J$ is a self-conjugate ideal of $\boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$ and $J \supset \boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$. We shall call $M$ a miniature lattice of $L_{n}$ generated by $\beta$ and denote it as $M=L_{n}\{\beta\}$. The generator of $M$ is determined up to a multiplicative factor being a unit. $M$ is similar to $L_{n}$ only when it has a generator $\beta$ such that $\beta^{\prime}$, the conjugate of $\beta$, satisfies $|\beta|=\left|\beta^{\prime}\right| ;|\beta|$ is then equal to the ratio between the lattice constants of $M$ and $L_{n}$.

We can show that $\mathrm{G}(\boldsymbol{x}) \supset \mathrm{D}_{m} \forall x \in M$ because $J$ is self-conjugate. Therefore, all the lattice points of $M$ are special points of $L_{n}$. Moreover, we can conclude that $L_{n}$ has no special points whose point symmetries are cyclic groups.

Let $\Lambda=M / L_{n}$, the residue class module. Then, we obtain that $\Lambda \simeq J / \boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta) \simeq$ $\boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta) /(\mu \boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta))$, which is a residue class ring. It follows that $q \equiv|\Lambda|=N(\mu)$ and $M$ is divided into $q$ sublattices which are translationally equivalent to $L_{n}$.

If $m=2$ then $\mu=2, \beta=\frac{1}{2}, q=16$ and $M=L_{n}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}$, which we may call a half lattice of $L_{n}$. On the other hand, we obtain $\mathrm{C}_{2}=\{1, I\}$ with $I=\rho^{n / 2}$ being the inversion operation; $\boldsymbol{x} \in E_{4} \rightarrow I \boldsymbol{x}=-\boldsymbol{x}$. We shall denote a special point of $L_{n}$ as type I or II according to whether or not its point symmetry group includes $I$. A necessary and sufficient condition for $\boldsymbol{x} \in E_{4}$ to be a type- $I$ special point of $L_{n}$ is that $\boldsymbol{x} \in L_{n}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}$. The following proposition is proved easily. Let $\boldsymbol{x}$ be a special point of $L_{n}$ and assume that its point symmetry group is $\mathrm{D}_{m}$ with $m(\neq 1)$ a divisor of $n$. Then, $m$ is even or odd according as the special point $x$ is of type I or type II, respectively. A $\Gamma$ point is of type I.

It follows that $L_{8}$ has no type-II special points. Moreover, the point symmetry group of a type-II special point of $L_{n}$ is $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ if $n=10$, but $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ if $n=12$.

We now return to the miniature lattice $M=L_{n}\{\beta\}$ with $\beta=1 /\left(1-\zeta^{k}\right)$. The $q$ sublattices of $M$ are permuted among themselves by every operation in $D_{n}$. Therefore, they are grouped into a number of irreducible subsets with respect to $\mathrm{D}_{n}$. This grouping is performed in a similar way as the one by which a similar problem is solved in Niizeki
(1989b). The union of all the sublattices in the $i$ th subset is identical to $L_{n}\left[x_{i}\right]$, where $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}$ is a representative point in the union. The number of the sublattices in $L_{n}\left[\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right]$ is given by $d_{i}=\left|\mathrm{D}_{n}\right| /\left|\mathrm{G}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)\right|$. We can assume that $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}=0, d_{0}=1$ and $L_{n}\left[x_{0}\right]=L_{n}$. Thus, we obtain $M=L_{n} \cup L_{n}\left[x_{1}\right] \cup \ldots \cup L_{n}\left[x_{s-1}\right]$ and $q=1+d_{1}+\ldots+d_{s-1}$, where $s$ is the total number of the subsets. That is, $M$ is divided into $s$ equivalence classes of the special points of $L_{n}$.

If $\mu=1-\zeta^{k}$ is a prime number in $\boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$ then, $q=p^{j}(j \geqslant 1)$ with $p$ being a prime integer and $\Lambda$ is a finite field. It can be shown in this case that $\mathrm{G}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)$ is equal to $\mathrm{D}_{m}$ for all $i$, so that $d_{1}=d_{2}=\ldots=d_{s-1}=k$ and $s-1=(q-1) / k$.

If $\exists \nu \in \boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$ such that $\mu \nu=p$, as in the case that $\mu$ is a prime number then, $J \subset p^{-1} \boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$ and $M \subset p^{-1} L_{n}$, so that $\boldsymbol{x} \in M$ is indexed as $\left[n_{0} n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}\right] / p$ with $n_{i}$ being integers. An element of $M / L_{n}$ can be indexed in this way, but with $0 \leqslant n_{i} \leqslant p-1$ or $-r \leqslant n_{i} \leqslant r$ with $r=(p-1) / 2$, the latter part of which does not apply to the case $p=2$.

If $\mu=1-\zeta^{k}$ is not a prime in $\boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$, it has a non-trivial divisor $\mu^{\prime}=1-\zeta^{k^{\prime}}$ with $k^{\prime}$ being a diviser of $k$. Then, $L_{n}\left\{1 / \mu^{\prime}\right\} \subset L_{n}\{1 / \mu\}$; the former is a $\mathrm{D}_{n}$-superlattice of the latter and consists only of special points. On the contrary, $L_{n}\{1 / \mu\}$ has no such superlattice if $\mu$ is a prime in $\boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$.

A set of translationally equivalent special points of $L_{n}$ includes one and only one which belongs to a unit cell (a fundamental domain) of $L_{n}$. We can take it as being representative of the set. The Voronoi cell (Wigner-Seitz cell), $V_{n}$, of the origin of $L_{n}$ is a symmetric unit cell. Here, the points on one half of the boundary of $V_{n}$ are included in $V_{n}$ but those in the other half are not. $V_{n}$ is a 4D polytope whose 3D hypersurfaces bisect lattice vectors representing neighbouring lattice points to the origin. The number, $F_{n}$, of 3D hypersurfaces of $V_{n}$ satisfies $F_{n} \geqslant n$ with $n$ equal to the coordination number of $L_{n}$; we obtain, in fact, that $F_{8}=8, F_{10}=30$ and $F_{12}=12$.

Let $\boldsymbol{x}$ be an interior point of $V_{n}$. Then we obtain $\alpha \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x} \forall \alpha \in \mathrm{G}(\boldsymbol{x})$, so that $\{c \boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{c} \in \boldsymbol{R}\} \subset E_{4}$ is a fixed set of $\mathrm{G}(\boldsymbol{x})$. Consequently, $\boldsymbol{x}$ can be a special point only when $x=0$. Thus, other special points in $V_{n}$ than the $\Gamma$ point are all located on the boundary of $V_{n}$. They must be on the vertices of $V_{n}$ or on the centres of $j$-dimensional $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant 3)$ surfaces of $V_{n}$, which can be proved in a similar way to the case of an interior point of $V_{n}$.

## 4. The special points of an $\boldsymbol{n}$-gonal quasilattice II: applications

### 4.1. The octagonal lattice

$V_{8}$ is a 4D hypercube which has eight 3D hypersurfaces and sixteen vertices. The sixteen type-I special points in $V_{8}$ are classified into six classes as given in table 1. The centre of a hypersurface, which is a cube, belongs to $X$ (more exactly, $L_{8}[X]$ ), a vertex to $O$, the middle point of an edge to $R$ and a centre of a 2 D surface, which is a square, to $C$ or $C^{\prime} . C$ and $C^{\prime}$ would be equivalent if the point symmetry of $L_{8}$ were $\Omega(4)$.

Table 1. The special points of the octagonal lattice.

| symbol | $\Gamma$ | $X$ | $C$ | $C^{\prime}$ | $R$ | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| point symmetry | $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ |
| order | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| representative | $[0000]$ | $\left[\frac{1}{2} 000\right]$ | $\left[\frac{1}{2} 00\right]$ | $\left[\frac{1}{2} 0 \frac{1}{2} 0\right]$ | $\left[0 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}\right]$ |

The two numbers $\mu_{1}=1-\zeta$ and $\mu_{2}=1-\zeta^{2}$ with $N\left(\mu_{1}\right)=2$ and $N\left(\mu_{2}\right)=4$ are divisors of $2\left(=1-\zeta^{4}\right)$ in $\boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$. The relevant three miniature lattices, $L_{8,1}=L_{8}\left\{1 / \mu_{1}\right\}$, $L_{8,2}=L_{8}\left\{1 / \mu_{2}\right\}$ and $L_{8}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}$ with $1 / \mu_{1}=\left(1+\zeta+\zeta^{2}+\zeta^{3}\right) / 2$ and $1 / \mu_{2}=\left(1+\zeta^{2}\right) / 2$, are associated with special points with point symmetries $\mathrm{D}_{8}, \mathrm{D}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2}$, respectively, and satisfy the relationships $L_{8,1} \subset L_{8,2} \subset L_{8}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}$. More precisely, $L_{8,1}=L_{8} \cup L_{8}[O]$ and $L_{8,2}=$ $L_{8,1} \cup L_{8}\left[C^{\prime}\right] . L_{8,2}$ is similar to $L_{8}$, while $L_{8,1}$ is identical to a 4D body centred hypercubic lattice.
$L_{8}$ does not have type-II special points, as noted previously.

### 4.2. The decagonal lattice

2 is a prime number of $\boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$ and the sixteen type-I special points of $L_{10}$ are classified into four classes as given in table 2. Note that four $X$ points other than the representative in the table are given by $\left[0 \frac{1}{2} 00\right],\left[00 \frac{1}{2} 0\right],\left[000 \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and $\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}\right]$; the last $X$ point is translationally equivalent to $\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{4}$ because $\varepsilon_{0}-\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{3}+\varepsilon_{4}=0$. Similarly, the fourth $C$ (or $C^{\prime}$ ) point is given by [ $\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 0\right]$ (or [ $\left[\frac{1}{2} 0 \frac{1}{2}\right]$ ).

Table 2. The special points of the decagonal lattice. The first four are of type I and the last two are of type II.

| symbol | $\Gamma$ | $X$ | $C$ | $C^{\prime}$ | $P$ | $P^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| point symmetry | $\mathrm{D}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ |
| order | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| representative | $[0000]$ | $\left[\frac{1}{2} 000\right]$ | $\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 00\right]$ | $\left[\frac{1}{2} 0 \frac{1}{2} 0\right]$ | $\left[\frac{21}{5} \frac{1}{5} \frac{2}{5}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{12}{5} \frac{2}{5} \frac{1}{5}\right]$ |

The type-II special points are obtained from $L_{10}\{\beta\}$ with $\beta=1 /\left(1-\zeta^{2}\right)$. $q=$ $N\left(1-\zeta^{2}\right)=5$ and $\Lambda \simeq \boldsymbol{Z}_{5}(=\boldsymbol{Z} / 5 \boldsymbol{Z})$. Using the equalities $\zeta^{2} \beta=\left(2+\zeta+\zeta^{2}+2 \zeta^{3}\right) / 5$ and $2 \zeta^{2} \beta \equiv\left(-1+2 \zeta+2 \zeta^{2}-\zeta^{3}\right) / 5 \bmod Z(\zeta)$, we obtain $\Lambda=\left\{0, x_{1},-x_{1}, x_{2},-x_{2}\right\} \bmod L_{10}$ with $x_{1}=[2112] / 5$ and $x_{2}=[\overline{1} 22 \overline{1}] / 5 . L_{10}\{\beta\}$ is divided into three sublattices as $L_{10} \cup$ $L_{10}\left[x_{1}\right] \cup L_{10}\left[x_{2}\right]$ with $L_{10}\left[x_{i}\right]=L_{10}\left(x_{i}\right) \cup L_{10}\left(-x_{i}\right), i=1,2$. The last two of the three present two classes of type-II special points whose point symmetry groups are both $\mathrm{D}_{5}$, as summarised in table 2. The two classes of special points were noticed by Janssen (1986).
$V_{10}$ is a 4D polytope with thirty 3D hypersurfaces; ten of them bisect the lattice vectors of the nearest neighbours of the origin and the remaining twenty bisect those of the second neighbours. The centres of the former ten belong to $X$ and those of the latter twenty to $C$ and $C^{\prime} . P$ and $P^{\prime}$ are located on vertices of $V_{10}$. Note, however, that $V_{10}$ has other vertices which are not special points of $L_{10}$.

### 4.3. The dodecagonal lattice

The sixteen type-I special points are classified into four classes, as given in table 3. Note that $L_{12}[\Gamma] \cup L_{12}\left[C^{\prime}\right]=L_{12}\{1 / \mu\}$, where $\mu=1-\zeta^{3}(=\sqrt{2} \exp (-\pi i / 4)$ ) with $q=$ $N(\mu)=4$ being a prime divisor of 2. $L_{12}\{1 / \mu\}$ is similar to $L_{12}$.

The type-II special points are obtained from $L_{12}\{\beta\}$ where $\beta=1 /\left(1-\zeta^{4}\right)$ with $q=N\left(1-\zeta^{4}\right)=9 . \Lambda \simeq Z_{3}(i)\left(\simeq Z_{3}+\mathrm{i} Z_{3}\right)$. The miniature lattice is similar to $L_{12}$. It includes two classes of type-II special points, as listed in table 3.

Table 3. The special points of the dodecagonal lattice. The first four are of type I and the last two are of type II.

| symbol | $\Gamma$ | $X$ | $C$ | $C^{\prime}$ | $T$ | $T^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| point symmetry | $\mathrm{D}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ |
| order | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| representative | $[0000]$ | $\left[\frac{1}{2} 000\right]$ | $\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 00\right]$ | $\left[\frac{1}{2} 00 \frac{1}{2}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{1}{3} 0 \frac{1}{3} 0\right]$ | $\left[\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3}\right]$ |

Since $L_{12}$ is a direct product of two hexagonal lattices $L_{6}$ and $L_{6}^{\prime}$ in $2 \mathrm{D}, V_{12}$ is a 4D hyperprism; $V_{12}=H \times H^{\prime}$, where $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ are regular hexagons representing the Voronoi cells of $L_{6}$ and $L_{6}^{\prime} . V_{12}$ has $36(=6 \times 6)$ vertices, which belong to $T^{\prime}$. Its twelve 3 D hypersurfaces are hexagonal prisms in 3D. One of them is given by $P_{6}=H \times S$ with $S$ being one of the six sides of $H^{\prime}$. The centre of $P_{6}$ belongs to $X$. The centres of the two hexagonal sides (surfaces) of $P_{6}$ belong to $T$. On the other hand, three of the centres of the six square sides belong to $C$ and the remaining three to $C^{\prime}$.

We have noted an arbitrariness in indexing an $n$-gonal lattice. Then, do the symbols assigned to different classes of the special points have definite meanings? The answer is affirmative for $\Gamma$ of $L_{n}$ for all $n, C, C^{\prime}$ and $O$ of $L_{8}$ and $C^{\prime}$ of $L_{12}$ but is negative for other classes. For example, the triplet $\left\{X, C, C^{\prime}\right\}$ of $L_{10}$ have a common point symmetry group, $\mathrm{D}_{2}$, and are permuted cyclically among themselves if the index scheme is changed. There are many doublets with similar properties: $\{X, R\}$ of $L_{8},\left\{P, P^{\prime}\right\}$ of $L_{10}$ and $\{X, C\}$ and $\left\{T, T^{\prime}\right\}$ of $L_{12}$. These results are consequences of the fact that different sublattices in a miniature lattice are permuted among themselves under a self-similarity transformation (Niizeki 1989b). Since the transformation preserves the symmetry, the members of a multiplet must have a common point symmetry group.

## 5. The octagonal, decagonal and dodecagonal quasilattices

We consider in this section only the Bravais-type quasilattices (Niizeki 1989a). An $n$-gonal ( $n=8,10$ or 12 ) quasilattice in 2D is a set of points in the external space given by

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{n}(\phi, W) & =\left\{\pi(\boldsymbol{l}) \mid \boldsymbol{l} \in L_{n} \text { and } \pi^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{l}) \in \phi+W\right\}  \tag{1a}\\
& =\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{3} n_{i} \zeta^{i} \mid n_{i} \in \boldsymbol{Z} \text { and } \sum_{i=0}^{3} n_{i}\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)^{i} \in \phi+W\right\} \tag{1b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi$ is a complex number representing the phase vector and $W$ a polygonal domain (in the internal space) with point symmetry $\mathrm{D}_{n}$. The condition that $\pi^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{l}) \in \phi+W$ is equivalent to the condition that $l$ is included in the strip $S(\phi, W)$ obtained by thickening the external space with $W$ and translating subsequently by $\phi$ along the internal space. The strip $S(\phi, W)$ is called regular if its boundary includes no lattice points of $L_{n}$, and is called singular otherwise.

The $n$-gonal quasilattice gives naturally a quasiperiodic tiling (QPT) of the plane in terms of a finite number of polygonal tiles (Niizeki 1989a). We will sometimes identify a quasilattice with the QPT associated with it.

The $n$-gonal quasilattice has a macroscopic point symmetry given by $G=D_{n}$. It also has a self-similarity which is characterised by a complex similarity ratio given by a unit of $\boldsymbol{Z}(\zeta)$.

The local isomorphism class to which $Q_{n}(\phi, W)$ belongs is determined by the window $W$ and is independent of $\phi$. Therefore, we will sometimes denote it simply as $Q_{n}(W)$.

We now investigate the three cases separately.

### 5.1. The octagonal quasilattice

The projection of a unit cell of $L_{8}$ onto the internal space is a regular octagon $\Pi_{8}^{\prime}$, which is related to the 'unit octagon' $\Pi_{8}$ by $\Pi_{8}^{\prime} \equiv \pi^{\prime}\left(V_{8}\right)=\gamma \Pi_{8}$ with $\gamma=(1+\zeta) / 2$. The relevant QPT is composed of square tiles and rhombic tiles (Ishihara et al 1987, see also Niizeki 1989c).

### 5.2. The decagonal quasilattice

The decagonal quasilattice, $Q_{10}\left(\Pi_{10}\right)$, gives rise to a QPT with four kinds of tiles, i.e. a regular pentagon, a thin rhombus, a crown and a pentagonal star (Penrose 1974, Niizeki 1989a) as shown in figure 1. This quasilattice is identical to the projection of an icosahedral quasilattice along a fivefold axis.

We consider a different choice of the window $W$. Let $\Pi_{5}$ be the unit regular pentagon whose vertices are given by $1, \zeta^{2}, \zeta^{4}, \zeta^{6}$ and $\zeta^{8}$ and let $-\Pi_{5}$ be its inversion. Then, $\gamma \Pi_{5} \cup\left(-\gamma \Pi_{5}\right)$ with $\gamma=1+\zeta^{3}$ is a decagonal star $\Sigma_{10}$ whose ten obtuse vertices coincide with the vertices of $\Pi_{10}$. Since $\Sigma_{10} \supset \Pi_{10}$, the decagonal quasilattice, $Q_{10}\left(\Sigma_{10}\right)$, is obtained from $Q_{10}\left(\Pi_{10}\right)$ by adding new lattice points to it. Each of the new points appears in such a way that a pentagonal tile of the old QPT is divided into a thick rhombus and a part of a pentagonal star. One (or two) of the parts and a crown (or


Figure 1. A Bravais-type decagonal quasilattice (solid lines) obtained with a window given by the unit regular decagon $\Pi_{10}$. The special points located on the centres of pentagonal tiles form a non-Bravais-type decagonal quasilattice (broken lines), which consists of two sublattices corresponding to the two possible orientations of the pentagons.


Figure 2. A Bravais-type decagonal quasilattice (solid lines) obtained with a window given by the decagonal star $\Sigma_{10}$. A pentagonal tile in figure 1 is divided into a thick rhombus and a part of a pentagonal star if and only if the centre of the tile is a two-pronged vertex of the 'dual quasilattice'. The special points located on the centres of pentagonal stars form a non-Bravais-type decagonal quasilattice (broken lines), which is similar with ratio $\tau$ to the one in figure 1 .
a thin rhombus) of the old QPT are joined into a pentagonal star. Thus, the new QPT is composed of three kinds of tiles, namely, the pentagonal star, a thick rhombus and a regular pentagon, as shown in figure 2 .

### 5.3. The dodecagonal quasilattice

The dodecagonal quasilattice, $Q_{12}\left(\Pi_{12}\right)$, gives rise to a QPT with three kinds of tiles, i.e. an equilateral triangle, a square and a trigonal hexagon as shown in figure 3 (Niizeki and Mitani 1987). The dodecagonal quasilattice, $Q_{12}\left(\Pi_{12}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\Pi_{12}^{\prime} \equiv \pi^{\prime}\left(V_{12}\right)=\gamma \Pi_{12}$ with $\gamma=(1+\zeta) / \sqrt{3}$ is derived from $Q_{12}\left(\Pi_{12}\right)$ by adding new lattice points to it. The new QPT is composed of three kinds of tiles, namely, the equilateral triangle, the square and the thin rhombus (Stampfli 1986, Niizeki and Mitani 1987).

## 6. Special points of $\boldsymbol{n}$-gonal quasilattice in $\mathbf{2 D}$

We now consider how we should define special points in the case of the $n$-gonal quasilattice, $Q_{n}(W)$, with $n=8,10$ or 12. Let $L_{n}[A]$ be the set of all the type- $A$ special points of $L_{n}$. Then, $\pi\left(L_{n}[A]\right)\left(=\left\{\pi(x) \mid x \in L_{n}[A]\right\}\right)$ as well as $\pi\left(L_{n}\right)$ is a dense set in the external space. Therefore, it is not very useful to define all the points in $\pi\left(L_{n}[A]\right)$ to be special points of $Q_{n}(\phi, W)$. We should cut $L_{n}[A]$ prior to projecting it. Then,


Figure 3. The solid lines represent a Bravais-type dodecagonal quasilattice $Q_{12}\left(\Pi_{12}\right)$ and broken lines a non-Bravais-type quasilattice which is formed by the type $T^{\prime}$ special points located on the centres of equilateral triangles. The latter quasilattice consists of four sublattices corresponding to the four possible orientations of the triangles. The centres of squares (or trigonal hexagons) are the type $C^{\prime}$ (or $T$ ) special points.
by what criterion should we cut it? One obvious choice is to use the strip, $S(\phi, W)$. This choice is reasonable when $A=\Gamma$ because each lattice point in the quasilattice should be its $\Gamma$ point, as in the case of a periodic lattice. This choice is, however, not necessarily the best choice in other cases, which we shall consider below.

We take as an example the decagonal quasilattice, $Q_{10}\left(\Pi_{10}\right)$. The relevant QPT contains pentagonal tiles, which can take two orientations, as seen in figure 1. They are translationally congruent with $\pm \Pi_{5}^{\prime}$, where $\Pi_{5}^{\prime}=\beta \Pi_{5}$ with $\beta=1 /\left(1-\zeta^{2}\right)$ ( $=\exp (3 \pi \mathrm{i} / 10) / 2 \sin (\pi / 5)$ ). We shall call each orientation positive or negative, respectively.

In order that $z \in C$ is the centre of a positive pentagon, it is necessary that $z+\beta=\pi(\boldsymbol{l}) \exists \boldsymbol{l} \in L_{10}$ because $\beta$ represents a vertex of $\Pi_{5}^{\prime}$. Since $\beta=\pi\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}\right)$ with $\boldsymbol{x}_{1}=$ [2112]/5 being a representative of $L_{10}[P]$, we find that $z=\pi(x)$ with $x=-x_{1}+\boldsymbol{l} \in$ $L_{n}\left(-x_{1}\right)$. The condition, $\pi^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{l}) \in \phi+W$ with $W=\Pi_{10}$, is rewritten with $\boldsymbol{x}=-\boldsymbol{x}_{1}+\boldsymbol{l}$ as $\pi^{\prime}(x) \in \phi-\beta^{\prime}+W$ with $\beta^{\prime}=\pi^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)(=1 /(1+\zeta)=\exp (-\pi \mathrm{i} / 10) /(2 \sin (2 \pi / 5)))$ being the conjugate of $\beta$. By performing similar arguments for the other four vertices of $\Pi^{\prime}{ }_{5}$, we obtain that $\pi^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \phi-\beta^{\prime}\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)^{2 i}+W$ for $i=1, \ldots, 4$. The five conditions can be cast into a single condition, $\pi^{\prime}(x) \in \phi+W^{\prime}$, where $W^{\prime}$ is the common part of the five domains, $-\beta^{\prime}\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)^{2 i}+W, i=0, \ldots, 4$. It is a problem of elementary geometry to show that $W^{\prime}=-\Pi^{\prime \prime}{ }_{5}$ with $\Pi^{\prime \prime}{ }_{5} \equiv \beta^{\prime} \Pi_{5}$, so that the set of the centres of all the positive pentagonal tiles in the QPT is given by $\left\{\pi(x) \mid x \in L_{n}\left(-x_{1}\right)\right.$ and $\left.\pi^{\prime}(x) \in \phi-\Pi^{\prime \prime}{ }_{5}\right\}$.

By means of a similar argument for the case of the negative pentagons, we arrive at the conclusion that the set of the centres of all the pentagonal tiles is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{10, P}\left(\phi, \Pi^{\prime \prime}{ }_{5}\right)=\left\{\pi(x) \mid x \in L_{10}[P] \text { and } \pi^{\prime}(x) \in \phi \pm \Pi_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sign in $\pm \Pi^{\prime \prime}{ }_{5}$ depends on which of the two sublattices of $L_{10}[P]\left(=L_{10}\left(x_{1}\right) \cup\right.$ $\left.L_{10}\left(-x_{1}\right)\right) x$ belongs to.
$L_{10}[P]$ is a non-Bravais-type sublattice of the $n$-gonal lattice $M$, which is a miniature lattice of $L_{10}$ introduced in $\S 4$. It can be shown easily that $Q_{10, P} \equiv Q_{10, P}\left(\Pi^{\prime \prime}{ }_{5}\right)$ is identical to the homopolar non-Bravais-type decagonal quasilattice constructed in Niizeki (1989b) as given in figure 1. It is also a sublattice of the Penrose lattice; it is obtained from the latter by discarding the lattice points with de Bruijin's indices 1 and 4 (de Bruijin 1981, Niizeki 1989b). This relationship between the pentagonal QPT and the Penrose lattice (tiling) is implicitly noticed by Henley (1986), though no proofs are presented.

By a similar argument, we can show that the set of the centres of all the pentagonal stars in the QPT is a non-Bravais-type decagonal quasilattice $Q_{10, P}\left(\tau^{-3} \Pi^{\prime \prime}{ }_{5}\right)$ derived from $L_{10}\left[P^{\prime}\right]$. The lattice points of this quasilattice coincide with the 'stars' $S$ in the corresponding Penrose lattice mentioned above. It is similar to $Q_{10, P}$ with the ratio, $\tau^{3}(\tau=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2)$.

On the other hand, the set of the middle points of all the bonds (the edges of the pentagonal tiles) in the QPT is another non-Bravais-type decagonal quasilattice derived from $L_{10}[X]$. The five sublattices of $L_{10}[X]$ correspond to the five orientations of the bonds. Similarly, the centres of the thin rhombi are special points derived from $L_{10}[C]$.

We consider next the special points of $Q_{10}\left(\Sigma_{10}\right)$ given in figure 2. The set of the centres of all the pentagonal stars in it is a decagonal quasilattice $Q_{10, p^{\prime}}=Q_{10, P^{\prime}}\left(\tau^{-1} \Pi^{\prime \prime}{ }_{s}\right)$, which is similar to $Q_{10, P}$. The union of the two quasilattices, $Q_{10, P} \cup Q_{10, P^{\prime}}$, is identical to the Penrose lattice, as noted in Niizeki (1989b). Similarly, the centres of the thick rhombi in the QPT are special points of type $C^{\prime}$; special points of this type are absent in $Q_{10}\left(\Pi_{10}\right)$. Since the thin rhombi in $Q_{10}\left(\Pi_{10}\right)$ are changed in $Q_{10}\left(\Sigma_{10}\right)$ into pentagonal stars, all the type- $C$ special points in $Q_{10}\left(\Pi_{10}\right)$ disappear in $Q_{10}\left(\Sigma_{10}\right)$. That is, whether $Q_{10}(W)$ has special points corresponding to a specified class or not is dependent on $W$.

We present several remarks on the special points of octagonal and dodecagonal quasilattices. The lattice points (or the middle points of the bonds) in $Q_{8}\left(\Pi_{8}^{\prime}\right), Q_{12}\left(\Pi_{12}\right)$ and $Q_{12}\left(\Pi_{12}^{\prime}\right)$ are special points corresponding to $\Gamma$ (or $X$ ). The centres of the polygonal tiles which have their own point symmetries are special points of the types with the same point symmetries; a rhombus and a square in $Q_{8}\left(\Pi_{8}^{\prime}\right)$ (or $Q_{12}\left(\Pi_{12}^{\prime}\right)$ ) correspond to $C$ and $C^{\prime}$, respectively, an equilateral triangle in the two dodecagonal quasilattices to $T^{\prime}$ and a trigonal hexagon in $Q_{12}\left(\Pi_{12}\right)$ to $T$. On the contrary, special points corresponding to $R$ and $O$ are absent in $Q_{8}\left(\Pi_{8}^{\prime}\right), C$ is absent in $Q_{12}\left(\Pi_{12}\right)$ and $T$ in $Q_{12}\left(\Pi^{\prime}{ }_{12}\right)$. The final remark is that the quasilattice associated with the type $T^{\prime}$ special points in $Q_{12}\left(\Pi_{12}\right)$ coincides, as shown in figure 3, with the non-Bravais-type dodecagonal quasilattice constructed in Niizeki (1988a), which can be proved rigorously in a similar way to the one in the case of decagonal quasilattices.

## 7. An application of the theory to a classification of $\boldsymbol{n}$-gonal quasilattices with global point symmetries

Let $x_{0}$ be a representative in $L_{n}[A]$ with $A$ being a class of special points of $L_{n}$, and assume that $S(\phi, W)$ with $\phi=-\pi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is a regular strip. Then, the condition that $\pi^{\prime}(l) \in \phi+W$ with $l \in L_{n}$ is equivalent to $\pi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}+\boldsymbol{l}\right) \in W$. Therefore, the shifted $n$-gonal quasilattice, $Q_{n}(A, W)=\pi\left(x_{0}\right)+Q_{n}(\phi, W)$, is rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(A, W)=\left\{\pi(\boldsymbol{x}) \mid \boldsymbol{x} \in L_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) \text { and } \pi^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{x}) \in W\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, $Q_{n}(A, W)$ is identical to the projection of a cut of $L_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$ with $S(0, W)$. Since $\alpha L_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)=L_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\alpha S(0, W)=S(0, W) \forall \alpha \in G\left(x_{0}\right), Q_{n}(A, W)$ has $G\left(x_{0}\right)$ as its global (or exact) point symmetry group with respect to the origin.

In the case where $S(\phi, W)$ is singular, there occurs a frustration as to whether or not the lattice points on the boundary of the strip should be projected. This frustration is resolved by an infinitesimal change of $\phi$. Unfortunately, the exact point symmetry is broken in this procedure, which one can say is a spontaneous symmetry breaking (de Bruijin 1981, Niizeki 1988b, 1989d). The ratio of the number of the lattice points breaking the symmetry is, however, infinitesimal, so that $\mathrm{G}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$ is virtually the global point symmetry group of $Q_{n}(A, W)$.

We can obtain simple QPT only for several special choices of $W$. Therefore, to take a special value for $\phi$ as above tends to make the strip singular. A necessary condition for the regular case to be realised in $Q_{n}(A, W)$ is that $Q_{n}(W)$ has a local configuration consistent with the point symmetry group $\mathrm{G}(A)$ because, in the regular case, the local configuration of $Q_{n}(A, W)$ around the origin is required to have this property. It is found that a regular case is realised in $Q_{8}\left(\Gamma, \Pi_{8}^{\prime}\right), Q_{10}\left(P, \Pi_{10}\right), Q_{10}\left(P^{\prime}, \Pi_{10}\right), Q_{10}\left(P, \Sigma_{10}\right)$, $Q_{12}\left(\Gamma, \Pi_{12}^{\prime}\right)$ etc, while a singular case is in $Q_{8}\left(O, \Pi_{8}^{\prime}\right), Q_{10}\left(\Gamma, \Pi_{10}\right), Q_{12}\left(\Gamma, \Pi_{12}\right)$ etc.

Thus, the classification of the special points of $L_{n}$ made in $\S \S 3$ and 4 is considered to be a classification of $n$-gonal quasilattices with global point symmetries.

A global point symmetry of a quasilattice is unchanged by a self-similarity transformation. However, the class of the relevant special point at the origin can be changed. For example, $Q_{10}\left(P, \Pi_{10}\right)$ and $Q_{10}\left(P^{\prime}, \Pi_{10}\right)$ are interchanged by a self-similarity transformation with $\tau=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$. That is, the central tile in the former is a pentagon but that of the latter is a pentagonal star (see figure 1 in Niizeki 1989a). It is a general feature that different members of a multiplet are permuted cyclically under a selfsimilarity transformation of an $n$-gonal quasilattice.

The theory in this section is a generalisation of that developed by de Bruijin (1981) for the case of the Penrose lattice.

## 8. Discussion

From the discussion in $\S 6$, we may say that the definition of the special points of a quasilattice has some arbitrariness, contrary to the case of a periodic lattice. Let $A$ be a class of special points of $L_{n}$. Then, every point in $\pi\left(L_{n}[A]\right)$ has the potential to be a special point of $Q_{n}(W)$. Whether a point in $\pi\left(L_{n}[A]\right)$ is a special point of $Q_{n}(W)$ or not is determined by some criterion from the local configuration around it. However, there are no a priori criteria. Therefore, we may choose an criterion appropriate to the purpose of the use of the special points.

For example, $Q_{8}\left(\Pi_{8}^{\prime}\right)$ (or $Q_{12}\left(\Pi_{12}^{\prime}\right)$ ) has vertices of local symmetry of $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ (or $\mathrm{D}_{12}$ ), i.e. the full symmetry, but $Q_{10}\left(\Pi_{10}\right), Q_{10}\left(\Sigma_{10}\right)$ or $Q_{12}\left(\Pi_{12}\right)$ has no vertices of the full symmetry. Whether we should consider all the lattice points of $Q_{n}(W)$ to be $\Gamma$ points, as assumed in $\S 6$, or whether we should limit to the vertices of the full point symmetry cannot be determined by a priori means.

The theory developed in $\S 3$ is quite general and applicable to a classification of special points of an $n$-gonal lattice with $n \geqslant 14$ provided that $Z(\zeta)$ is a principal ideal ring.

The present theory will be a starting point for classifying the special points of an $n$-gonal lattice with a non-symmorphic space group. It is, on the other hand, straightfor-
ward to extend the present theory to the classification of 'special lines' or 'special planes' of an $n$-gonal lattice.

It can be shown easily that $L_{n}^{*}$, the reciprocal lattice of $L_{n}$, is also an $n$-gonal lattice. Therefore, the classification of the special points of $L_{n}^{*}$ has been completed by the present work. A detailed argument on the special points in the reciprocal space of an $n$-gonal quasilattice will be published elsewhere.

Most of the results of the present paper can be extended to the icosahedral quasilattices in 3D. There are three kinds of Bravais-type icosahedral quasilattices. A complete classification of their special points is published in the following paper.
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